Case3:11-cv-04175-WHA Document75 Filed02/11/13 Page1 of 2 CV11- 4175 WHA E-filing Defendant to Pay 5,616 = 40 Plainteff or follows:
Defendant to Pay to Plainteff crunsel's
office \$2,000 - by March 15, 2013. Thereafter, commencing April 15,2013, defendant Shall Pay 200 ser month, each Payment due in The 15th day of loste Monto, natil the remainda of The 15,616 in Paid in feel. Payments to be 2 Payable to US Department of perties. Ma default, Placistiff Council Jusq sabert & Subuit a dellacation of default to cause a Judy must is the amount of \$15,0000 to be Cach ride to bear its own costs, but

Plaintiff shall not be liable for Juny Fees.

ONLY THE SECRETARY, NOT THE COURT, HAS DISCRETION TO DISCHARGE THE LOAN. *UNITED STATES V. WRIGHT*, 87 F. SUPP. 2D 464,466. (D. MD. 2000).

AN ALLEGED AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE OF IDENTITY THEFT FAILS BECAUSE A DISCHARGE OF HIS LOAN OBLIGATION BASED UPON IDENTITY THEFT MUST BE OBTAINED FROM THE SECRETARY OF EDUCATION THROUGH THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, AND MAY NOT BE ASSERTED AS A DEFENSE IN A COLLECTION ACTION.

PLAINTIFF OBJECTS TO ANY TESTIMONY OR DOCUMENT CONTAINING ANY TERM OF THE ALLEGED AGREEMENT, OR ANY DISCUSSION OF THE AGREEMENT OR OF ALLEGED DAMAGES CAUSED BY AFSA'S ALLEGED BREACH OF THE ALLEGED AGREEMENT, AND ANY JURY INSTRUCTION REGARDING SUCH EVIDENCE, ON THE GROUND THAT THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE PREVENTS DEFENDANT FROM OFFERING ANY EVIDENCE OF AN ORAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN HIM AND AFSA. ACCORDINGLY, DEFENDANT'S ALLEGED DEFENSES OF WAIVER, LACHES, ESTOPPEL OR ANY DELAY BY PLAINTIFF; AND ANY JURY INSTRUCTION OR EVIDENCE RELATING TO AN IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIL DEALING SHOULD BE EXCLUDED FROM DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE.